

Fiscal Note 2017 Biennium

	232.5	7			
Bill # HB0194	HB0194		Title: Revise bison laws		
Primary Sponsor: Redfield, Alan		Status: As Introd	luced		
☐ Significant Local Gov Impac	t 🔲 Needs to be include	ed in HB 2	Technical Concerns	V	
☐ Included in the Executive Bu	dget	Term Impacts	Dedicated Revenue Form	m Attached	
	FISCAL	SUMMARY			
	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
	<u>Difference</u>	Difference	Difference	Difference	
Expenditures:					
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Revenue;		304		1974 - Anniago	
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Net Impact-General Fund Ba		\$0	\$0	\$0	

Description of fiscal impact: HB 194 would only have a fiscal impact in the event of a bison transplant.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Fish, Wildlife and Parks (FWP)

- 1. FWP is currently working on a programmatic EIS for bison. An alternative in the EIS is the no action alternative, which would mean no bison would be transplanted.
- 2. If an alternative other than the no action alternative was selected, then additional MEPA would be required that would analyze site specific impacts, including a forage analysis.
- 3. Because of the above two items, it is uncertain whether a transplant would occur during this biennium.
- 4. If a transplant occurs in the future, a forage analysis would cost approximately \$0.54/acre based on today's rates (source: NRCS's Technical Provider rate). There is no way to predict the number of acres involved.

Department of Livestock

- 5. Forage analysis and carrying capacity for the management of wild buffalo are to be conducted by range scientists.
- 6. The Department of Livestock does not have a range scientist and such an analysis is not within its mission.

7. The bill is specific that such an analysis would be done by Montana State University or the US Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Sponsor's Initials

Date

Budget Director's Initials

Date